	-
1	PASSAIC VALLEY WATER COMMISSION MEETING OF
2	MAY 15, 2019
3	
4	(OPEN SESSION)
5	
6	COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:
7	
8	RIGO SANCHEZ, President
9	JOSEPH KOLODZIEJ, Vice President
10	RONALD VAN RENSALIER, Treasurer
11	ROBERT L. VANNOY, Secretary (Absent)
12	IDIDA RODRIGUEZ (Absent)
13	JEFFREY LEVINE
14	GERALD G. FRIEND
15	
16	
17	
18	ALSO PRESENT:
19	JAMES G. DUPREY, Business Administrator
20	JOSEPH A. BELLA, Executive Director
21	GEORGE T. HANLEY, Counsel
22	YAACOV BRISMAN, Assistant Counsel
23	YITZ WEISS, Comptroller
24	LOUIS AMODIO, Administrative Secretary
25	

1	MR. AMODIO: On the roll.
2	
3	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
4	present respond in the affirmative. Commissioners
5	Vannoy and Rodriguez are absent. Commissioner
6	Levine is not present yet)
7	
8	MR. AMODIO: You have a quorum.
9	The time is 9:36.
10	All of the requirements of the Open Public
11	Meetings Act have been met. Notices have been
12	furnished to all Commissioners; City Clerks of
13	Paterson, Passaic, and Clifton; North Jersey
14	Herald News; The Record - Passaic County edition
15	and the Commission's Executive Staff with a copy
16	posted at the main bulletin board at the Clifton
17	facility.
18	Commissioner Van Rensalier.
19	
20	(Pledge of Allegiance)
21	
22	MR. AMODIO: I believe we have members of
23	the public. Do you wish to speak?
24	MS. GORHAM: Yes.
25	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Can you state your

1 I'm sorry, we know who you are, but just name? 2 for the record, please. 3 MS. GORHAM: Yes. Good morning, everyone. I'm Irma Gorham. I'm the Executive Director of 4 the Paterson Housing Authority and today I'm back 5 again because I just feel that we have to settle 6 the matter regarding the water trunk at the 7 Riverside development complex. 8 9 We've had a series of meetings. The last 10 meeting we were supposed to come with some 11 understanding of what that cost would be and how 12 it would be shared and we haven't gotten anything 13 back from the Commission regarding that, a number 14 and terms of what would be equitable and we need to just come to some realization of how we're 15 16 going to move forward because we are at the point 17 that the developer needs to finish his process and 18 get going. 19 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: May I ask a 20 question? 2.1 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Sure. 22 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Okay. At the last 23 meeting you attended with the attorney for the --24 MS. GORHAM: Yes, Jeff is here. Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: You were requested

1	to supply the developer's agreement between the
2	Paterson Housing Authority and the developer.
3	
4	(Whereupon, Commissioner Levine is present
5	at 9:40 a.m.)
6	
7	MS. GORHAM: Yes, sir.
8	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: We haven't received
9	that yet.
LO	MS. GORHAM: We sent it over to I think
L1	the clerk.
L2	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: What you sent was a
L3	letter of intent in terms of what was built,
L 4	that's not the developer's agreement.
L5	MS. GORHAM: We since sent the developer's
L 6	agreement over.
L7	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Did anybody receive
L 8	it from Administration?
L 9	MR. AMODIO: The only thing that I
20	received was e-mailed to you last week.
21	MS. GORHAM: No, we sent the developer's
22	agreement well, we have copies. You're our
23	only contact and I will definitely check.
24	MR. ZENN: Commissioner, what's the
25	relevance of the

1 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Pardon? 2 MR. ZENN: What's the relevance of the 3 developer agreement anyhow? COMMISSIONER FRIEND: As a courtesy and 4 you agreed at the last meeting that you were going 5 6 to --7 MR. ZENN: I don't have the document. COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Pardon me? 8 9 MR. ZENN: I don't have the document. 10 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: You don't have the 11 document. Okay. 12 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Do me a favor, before 13 you speak, state your name, for the record. 14 MR. ZENN: I'm sorry. 15 My name is Jeffrey Zenn, Z-e-n-n, from 16 Cullen and Dykman. 17 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Let me say this to 18 These type of things don't help because 19 you're asking Passaic Valley Water Commission to 20 put up almost a million dollars and a fellow 2.1 Commissioner is asking you for a document and if 22 you have it or you don't have it, that's what we 23 need to know. He wants to see it before he makes 24 his decision and I guess without that he doesn't 25 feel comfortable making a decision.

1 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I've gone online to 2 try to find out what I can on the computer and 3 according to what I found online, the developer is going to get a fee for doing this project, over 4 \$11 million. Is that accurate? 5 6 MR. ZENN: I don't know. 7 MS. GORHAM: Yes, close to. But it's also a deferred developer's cost. 8 9 I do have the document. Initially, when 10 you asked for it, we have so many documents 11 because it's an ongoing negotiation. We sent the 12 intent over and our attorney in D.C. who handles 13 all of our packaging sent it over and we have 14 local -- and I do apologize, our local counsel was 15 supposed to send it over to you last week, Elnardo 16 Webster. So he may not have sent it over to you 17 because since that time we've been playing phone 18 tag. 19 So I did bring a copy to leave with the 20 Commission today. So the deferred developer's 2.1 cost is over time in the project. It's not 22 \$11 million at the signing or the completion of 23 the project. 24 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Also, I haven't seen 25 the agreement, so I don't know. Are you selling

1 the property --2 MS. GORHAM: No. 3 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: -- to the developer? MS. GORHAM: No, the property's leased to 4 the developer. The housing authority remains 5 owner of the property. 6 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Because there was 7 something, again, on the computer and we don't get 8 the information directly from the source then 10 trying to find it in another area, and it 11 indicated that you were selling the property to 12 the developer and then you would get it back after 15 years. 13 14 MS. GORHAM: Well, we could retain 15 ownership after 15 years, but the land is leased 16 to the developer for 90 years. 17 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: How many years? 18 THE WITNESS: 90 years. 19 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: 90 years. 20 MS. GORHAM: It's a ground lease that's 2.1 done on most mixed financed projects. 22 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: And I had also asked 23 for a copy of the proposal that the developer had 24 made to the State of New Jersey for the funding to 25 see what costs were involved because, again, on

1 the computer, it may not be accurate, the 2 developer's response when he went before the mayor 3 and municipal council in the City of Paterson and they questioned the \$11 million was that it's 4 5 coming out of the mortgage as part of his 6 construction funds, so... 7 MS. GORHAM: Yes. COMMISSIONER FRIEND: So the State of New 8 9 Jersey is mortgaging, including in that mortgage 10 is a developer's fee of \$11 million. 11 MS. GORHAM: Yes, that's part of the 12 packaging. Yes. 13 MR. ZENN: If you're asking me, I don't 14 know the answer to that question. 15 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Well, like I said, 16 if we had the developer's agreement that was 17 requested a month ago... But I'm not prepared. 18 don't know about my fellow Commissioners, I'm not 19 prepared to take any action until a review of the 20 developer's agreement. 2.1 MS. GORHAM: Okay. So I will leave a copy 22 with the clerk. 23 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: The only thing is, I 24 think fellow Commissioner Kolodziej was at that

meeting on that committee meeting.

1 COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: Yes, sir. 2 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Will you tell us just 3 where you guys left it at that date? I'm sorry if I... 4 COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: No, we can 5 6 certainly discuss that. And before we do, I just want to make sure I didn't misunderstand the 7 director. 8 9 You said negotiations are still ongoing? MS. GORHAM: Well, until we close with the 10 11 HFMA, we do have negotiations. As an example, we've since had to do some additional 12 13 environmental action at the site that the housing 14 authority may have to add some funds to the 15 project. So it's ongoing. 16 COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: That's ongoing 17 with the developer? 18 MS. GORHAM: It's ongoing with the 19 developer for site, for environmental. 20 COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: Well, that's good 2.1 news then because that pipe could be part of your 22 continuing ongoing negotiations in addition to the 23 environmental. 24 We met with the developer who basically 25 argued with us for five minutes about whether or

not we can have access to a public document and it was mentioned during the meeting that if we're here to kind of come to a compromise, arguing over something that we can easily get ourselves seemed silly. And the developer at that point said, fine, we'll get you the document.

That was three weeks ago, we still don't have that document.

By the end of the meeting, the developer came in and said we're not going to put a penny towards this pipe and they are taking the position that a 90-year old pipe in the ground that's been supplying water to Fair Lawn and Lodi and Garfield and Paterson somehow is non-existent or that there is no right for the Passaic Valley Water Commission to maintain that pipe and that's how we left that committee meeting.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Just so the Commission --

MR. ZENN: I don't think that was --

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Just so the

Commission knows, at the finance committee meeting yesterday, I did request, since we didn't have the developer's agreement and there was a deed referred to, that Administration get a copy of the

1 deed
2 1943
3 was
4 thro
5 Eigh
6 Aver
7 deed
8 site

deed. And the deed very clearly states back in 1943 that the transfer from the Federal Government was made subject to a 42-inch water main running through East 28th Street from Seventh Avenue to Eighth Avenue crossing Sixth Avenue and from Sixth Avenue to Fifth Avenue. So it's clearly in the deed and, in fact, the deed is referenced in their site plan. So, you know, it's been known since 2015 at least that this pipe is there and that it belongs to Passaic Valley.

COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: That is correct. My understanding is that the developer hired an engineering consultant who came out and contacted us over four years ago and took the position at the committee meeting that they just found out that the pipe was there.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: What I'm gathering here is that --

MR. ZENN: Can I just set the record straight from the developer's side because we were here at the Commission at least 18 months ago and we were aware of the line there. What triggered this was the existence of the maintenance over the line. So I don't think anybody's disputing it's your line. In fact, you have the obligation to

2.1

,

maintain that line, not the housing authority.

It's the housing authority's property, but it's the Commission's obligation to maintain that line.

The question is whether you have a 90-year old line and, you know, I think the Commission, when we first spoke to the Commission, acknowledged that it's coming pretty close to the end of its useful life. And when you put in a new line, it's not going to be utilized by this development. It's not being tapped into. It's a trunk line servicing the entire community, all the communities of Passaic Valley Water Commission, that should be born by Passaic Valley Water Commission, not by the housing authority. It happens to be that this line sits on top, the maintenance issue sits on top of this line is a problem we need to resolve.

When we did meet, we did put some money on the table. We offered some money. I know,

Commissioner, it wasn't acceptable to you. It wasn't close to what you were looking for. But it wasn't as if we said no money at all. And there was something put on the table and I think Irma was thinking that we were going to continue those discussions. But it's a problem, it's the housing

authority's property and they've got to deliver
that property in a condition that we can build and
the first thing is the demolition.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I was a little confused, you're the attorney not for the Paterson Housing, you're the attorney for the developer?

MR. ZENN: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: And when the agreement was negotiated, you said you don't have a copy of the developer's agreement?

MR. ZENN: No, I'm doing the land use.

There are other attorneys involved dealing with
the financing and dealing with HUD. There are a
lot of conference calls with 25 people.

PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Let me say this. What I think is Passaic Valley Water is not saying we're not willing to help, but we also have a responsibility to the ratepayers. And this is a costly project. We're talking about \$1.7 million. We kind of want to find a way where we both come to a meeting where we can agree and be happy with each other. That has to happen and I guess we send up a letter saying we're willing to help and whatever you guys offer we feel that is not enough. Right, Joe, I think that's the feeling we

have. We feel that we have a sharing responsibility but we want to share that responsibility, but you also need to come to the table. I mean, we could make milk but you need to produce the bread. But please, you know.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Just a couple of issues aside from the developer's agreement that this Commission has to take into consideration, as far as I'm concerned. Do we do the work? Is the developer doing the work? Are we allowed to contribute without it having gone out to public bidding, you know, that's number one, whether or not we will be violating any state statute in terms of whether or not the work would have to be publicly bid. And also, you know, whatever we do, it's going to be precedent setting because I'm sure it's not the first time this has come up and I'm sure it's not the last time it's going to come up.

MR. HANLEY: Commissioner, we discussed that in great detail and my understanding is that everybody understands that we are going to do the work with the pipe because we have the expertise and knowledge to do that and we also have the responsibility to our ratepayers.

1 Is that accurate, Joe? 2 MR. BELLA: That's correct. 3 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: If we're going to do the work, does it have to be publicly bid? 4 5 MR. HANLEY: That's right, and that was discussed. That's understood by all and that's 6 why even the numbers we're discussing are as 7 accurate as they can be today, but we all --8 9 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: All right. Just so 10 the Paterson Housing Authority and the developer 11 are aware of that. 12 MR. HANLEY: They're fully aware. 13 MS. GORHAM: Yes, we discussed this. 14 MR. ZENN: Right, and we agree. 15 MR. BELLA: One thing I'd like to just say 16 is that this pipe is not at the end of its life. COMMISSIONER FRIEND: We had that before. 17 18 MR. BELLA: Yeah, there's probably at 19 least 150, 200 years. I mean, it's got another 20 hundred years. We haven't had any problems there. 2.1 One of the problems we find there is that the 22 proximity of all the structures can impact the 23 safety of that pipe, the ability of it. We have 24 found this previously. So that's important 25 considerations for us and the safety of what comes

thereafter because it will be a narrow right-of-way. I think it's ten feet on either side as opposed to maybe 30 feet. So there's things, we're worrying about the future here. I mean, that future might be 50 years or it might be five years, we don't know. But they're the kind of structural engineering situations we have to think about.

COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: If I may just add to this. And, Mr. Zenn, given the description you've said about the complexity of this project and how you don't have access to certain information and documents, the day that we met at that committee meeting the developer had asked for some private time and he came down into this room. After you had met privately, the developer came through that door and said in no uncertain terms that they're not going to pay anything for this pipe. So they may not be letting you in the loop as to what's going on.

The fact that you now changed your position from the committee meeting and acknowledged the fact we have a pipe and an easement through this development I think is helpful and I also think that, Executive Director,

it's helpful to know that you still have ongoing negotiations with the developer. So I think that you guys are in control of being able to settle this issue.

MS. GORHAM: I think it's a joint collaboration.

I have to go back to the chairman on point. In this project there are limited funds. If we had the funds, we would put it on the table. The site is clear. We're ready to start the demolition, but not knowing the exposure in terms of dollars for this trunk, we really can't say go ahead. Our developer's in constant contact with the State on getting a definitive answer because it could be outside of the realm for the budget and that's our real concern.

address your concern because at the committee meeting we had discussed the fact that it's going to cost \$1.6 million to do that pipe. So I would feel comfortable making the offer to you, that you guys cover the \$1.6 million, anything above that we'll pick up.

MS. GORHAM: Well, I think it should be reversed just because it's an obligation by the

Valley Water Commission to provide the service to the community, it just so happened to be on our property and for us to take that on, that would be totally, totally against the whole mission for this project.

COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: I can make that argument about environmental issues also.

MS. GORHAM: Well, the environmental issues we have, because at the 18-month period when they came in to speak with you guys without the housing authority, we had a firm go out to environmental issues on the site. We budgeted for it. We went back to HUD and switched some funding that we already had for that. At that time, if we knew that we were going to have to replace a trunk on our property that another agency, a public agency is responsible for, I think the discussions and the negotiations would have been differently.

We're looking at some other alternative for this site, because right now it is the money factor.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Well, when you went back to HUD, you went back to HUD for money for the environmental issues?

MS. GORHAM: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: But you've known
2	about this issue since 2015.
3	MS. GORHAM: The money that we went back
4	to HUD for were monies that were already ours. We
5	just switched it so that we could assist this
6	project.
7	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Has the developer
8	gone back to the New Jersey Housing Authority
9	Mortgage Authority and attempted to increase the
10	budget?
11	MR. ZENN: The developer, he cannot get
12	money for this because he does not own this.
13	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Cannot get money.
14	MR. ZENN: The Commission will own this.
15	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Construction costs
16	from the housing authority, isn't there?
17	MS. GORHAM: No.
18	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Isn't this part of
19	construction?
20	MR. ZENN: No.
21	MS. GORHAM: No, we're not funding the
22	construction on this project.
23	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: No, not you, the New
24	Jersey Housing Mortgage Authority.
25	MR. ZENN: Right, but the developer will

1 not own this line.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GORHAM: The line, not the project, the line.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: He doesn't own the line.

MR. ZENN: It's a trunk line.

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Well, whether he owns it or not, it's part of the project. It's either going to stay there and if it's damaged during construction, the developer's going to have to pay the cost of any damage that occurs to that line or it's going to be moved which, you know, which we're not, you know, as Mr. Bella said, that line's good for another 50 to a hundred years. We may have other projects that may be more pressing in terms of lines that have to be replaced. So you're asking us to do something, to jump this ahead of other projects that may be required when, like I say, and you're here today, May 15th of 2019 when you've known about it since 2015, at the minimum, probably before that because that's when the plans are dated. So...

MR. ZENN: Yeah, but also not an accurate representation of what happened because we've been meeting with the Commission since at least January

1 of '18. We originally talked about line stops and 2 turning off valves on either end and doing a 3 demolition and seeing if anything needs to be repaired. And then subsequently, I think there's 4 only November or December of '18 that we heard 5 that the Commission said a 36-inch line will be 6 7 better. PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Let me say this. 8 9 We're having a constructive conversation here. 10 advice is you guys want to set up another meeting 11 and come back here and meet with Administration, 12 find a proposal and let's see where we are because 13 we're not going to solve this. I'm going beyond 14 my public meeting portion. 15 MS. GORHAM: Yes. 16 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Out of courtesy, but 17 we're not going anywhere. 18 Joe, why don't you set something up, find 19 the people you need to be there. 20 And, George, please, be at that meeting 2.1 and --22 MR. HANLEY: I've been at all the 23 meetings. 24 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Okay. 25 MR. HANLEY: And I'm telling you,

1	everything that's been discussed here is not new.
2	The bottom line is the developer doesn't want to
3	help pay for the line that he's causing us to
4	move, which is very expensive. And to do the
5	demolition while that line remains is, according
6	to our engineers, very risky.
7	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Okay. So do me a
8	favor
9	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Who would be
10	responsible if that line is damaged during the
11	construction?
12	MR. HANLEY: I mean, you need to ask the
13	engineers, but that's
14	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: But, in other
15	words
16	MR. HANLEY: What do you say, Jim?
17	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Do we require the
18	developer to put up a bond to ensure that if that
19	line is damaged during the construction that we,
20	you know, have the funds to repair it or move it?
21	MR. HANLEY: I guess
22	MR. SOKOL: The Commission is ready to
23	leave the line
24	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: I'm sorry, who are
25	you, sir?

1 MR. SOKOL: My name is Leon Sokol. 2 also one of the attorneys. 3 COMMISSIONER FRIEND: You're who? Your 4 name again? MR. SOKOL: Leon Sokol. I'm one of the 5 6 attorneys representing the developer. I'm Jeff's 7 partner. If the Commission's position is that 8 9 they're okay with the line staying in place and 10 the developer is ready to pay for and was told 11 that the valves on either side of the property, 12 I'm not sure they work, and once you test those 13 valves if you find they don't work and you have to 14 replace them with new valves, the developer is 15 prepared to pay for the valves and he's also 16 prepared to pay for damage once the water's shut 17 off to the line when the demolition occurs. And 18 once that's completed and the line remains in 19 place, we can live with it. 20 Up until now we've been told that the 2.1 Commission wants to replace the line and move it 22 to another location on the site. 23 We can live with the line remaining there 24 after we demolish the building. The big problem

is demolition, because we can't start construction

without the demolition.

And as to what was asked about the HFMA,

HFMA is providing funding in two ways: One is an
allocation of tax credits that gets sold to an
investor and the other is tax exempt bonding.

There's no state money coming into this. It's all
coming from private money done through that
mechanism to create affordable housing.

The other problem is legally, because none of the water that goes through this pipe services this property owned by the housing authority, under the New Jersey law, the property owner, mainly, the housing authority, has no legal obligation to pay for the relocation of the line because it's --

COMMISSIONER FRIEND: But if it's damaged during construction --

MR. SOKOL: Yes, we all agreed to pay for the new valve. We already agreed to pay for the damage. So the only issue remains was are we willing to pay for the relocation of the line which is something the Commission asked for recently, not something that we're asking for. And we're telling you that we don't have enough money to pay \$1.6 million. We're willing to put

some money on the table towards that. But we have 1 2 to get this done because the approvals that we 3 have for the financing are limited and if we don't go into the market to syndicate tax credits and 4 5 get that money and the bond issue, the project is in jeopardy. And the housing authority's already 6 relocated 200 families. 7 MS. GORHAM: Actually, 132. We had 200 8 9 units at the site. 10 COMMISSIONER LEVINE: Let me ask you a 11 question. The new building being constructed, how 12 far away from this line is the new billing going 13 to be constructed after --14 MR. SOKOL: There will be nothing on top 15 of the easement. 16 MR. ZENN: Commissioner, I can say that 17 our engineers have met with staff and I think your 18 staff is satisfied with what we proposed. 19 COMMISSIONER LEVINE: So, Jim, let me ask 20 you a question. What's wrong -- no, no, let me 2.1 finish, Jim. What's wrong with the pipe if we 22 take the valves and we close off the pipes, what 23 objection does the Commission have doing that and 24 if they're going to pay for any damages to the 25 pipes and pay for valves?

1	MR. DUPREY: Well, two issues: One is
2	that in the regrading of the site the pipe would
3	be severely buried and even if it didn't cause the
4	pipe to fail at that moment, future main breaks
5	would be very hard to access, very deep
6	construction.
7	And the other aspect is, even with the
8	valves on each side, let's say it does break
9	during this demolition, you'll have vast areas
10	that are going to start losing water and out with
11	water and we don't want to disrupt our customers.
12	So those are the two aspects that we have
13	to keep in mind.
14	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: What if they change
15	the grading?
16	MR. DUPREY: Well, we're just evaluating
17	this based on what they sent us.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: Can't they redo the
19	grading so it will be acceptable to us?
20	MR. BELLA: They did mention that at one
21	point and then
22	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: If we redid the
23	grading so it's acceptable to us and check the
24	valves.
25	MR. DUPREY: We'll be happy to review it

1 if they come up with something. 2 COMMISSIONER LEVINE: Or work with our 3 planners to have a grading that's acceptable to both. The grading is just the elevation of the 4 5 project. 6 MR. BELLA: The other thing they have to, 7 because we are concerned about the safety going forward because you have this huge line and it's 8 running within ten feet of the housing, so if 10 there's a failure within that footprint, it's 11 possible, I'm not saying it is, depends on a lot 12 of factors, but it's possible that you could 13 actually lose some of those buildings. And we've 14 all seen pictures on TV of houses falling or cars 15 falling into sinkholes and stuff, so that's the 16 kind of thing. COMMISSIONER LEVINE: How far is the 17 18 building away from it now? 19 MR. BELLA: Right against it. 20 COMMISSIONER LEVINE: No, no, right now how far? 2.1 22 MR. BELLA: Right now one is over the top 23 of it and the other one the wall is right there. 24 COMMISSIONER LEVINE: Don't they run the 25 same problem right now if it fails, that building

1	collapses?
2	MR. BELLA: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: So why would it
5	make
6	MR. BELLA: The difference is they made a
7	mistake 70 years ago. We're not making
8	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: It's not going to be
9	on top is what I'm saying now.
10	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: They built over it
11	knowing it's there.
12	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: Right now it's not
13	going to be built over, is it?
14	MR. BELLA: No, but within ten feet.
15	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Guys, do me a favor,
16	this is taking you too long. My advice to you is
17	have your engineers come and sit down with our
18	staff, find out a solution, find out how much it's
19	going to cost and how we're going to pay for it
20	and how much you're going to pay for it. I'm not
21	here for educating myself. Just have a meeting
22	with the engineers and work it out.
23	Thank you.
24	MS. GORHAM: Okay. Thank you,
25	Commissioners.

1 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Moving on. MR. AMODIO: Move onto committee reports. 2 3 Finance. COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: Yes, we met 4 yesterday. It was reported that our investments 5 6 are doing well despite the current volatility in 7 the market. There was nothing unusual about the disbursements. 8 9 Good news is that overtime is down in most 10 departments. 11 We talked about potentially maybe forming an ad hoc committee to look at how we can reduce 12 13 police costs for coverage on our jobs. The cost 14 currently is anywhere for a particular job 10 to 15 20 percent of the cost of that job. We spend 16 about a million dollars per year just on police 17 costs. We're doing something in Passaic to try to 18 reduce that when we do road reconstruction, so I 19 asked the Executive Director to reach out to the 20 Business Administrator in Passaic to see the 2.1 direction that we're going in to try to reduce 22 that cost. 23 The audits are wrapping up. 24 Billing software upgrade is being

finalized.

1	And we also talked about with pursuing the
2	uncollectibles, maybe sending out an RFP or RFQ
3	for an agency to help us collect our
4	uncollectibles.
5	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: What's the number on
6	that, do we know?
7	COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: Do you know?
8	MR. WEISS: No, it's big.
9	COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: I thought it
10	was like 5 million the last time I looked.
11	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: So do we need to set
12	up something or do you need to come up with a plan
13	as Controller?
14	MR. WEISS: It's already in the process.
15	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: You already have
16	something. How we're going to go about that?
17	When are you going to have it by?
18	MR. WEISS: As far as collections go, we
19	had brought somebody in to discuss an outside
20	collection company, we're planning on pulling out
21	an RFP with professional services
22	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: By when?
23	MR. WEISS: We should have it next month.
24	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Okay. Thank you.
25	MR. WEISS: I'm sorry, Commissioner

1	Sanchez, the business process review that
2	Commissioner Van Rensalier was talking about,
3	we're planning on having that out and we're going
4	to continue that process and update it.
5	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Thank you.
6	I want to thank this finance committee.
7	You guys are on top of your game and thank you for
8	that.
9	MR. AMODIO: Moving on to personnel.
10	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: We didn't have our
11	formal personnel. I spoke to Joe. We're going to
12	table 1. We're going to table 2, we need more
13	information
14	MR. AMODIO: Woe, woe, wait. I'm sorry.
15	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: On number 1 we're
16	going to table. Table 2a.
17	MR. AMODIO: 2a?
18	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Yeah, we're just going
19	to do the promotion on top. 3, we're going to do
20	the increments and then we're going to do two new
21	hires.
22	MR. AMODIO: So which ones are we going to
23	hold?
24	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: You're going to hold
25	2.

1	MR. AMODIO: 2a.
2	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: B, c, d, e
3	COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: All of 2.
4	MR. AMODIO: All of 2. Okay. Change and
5	top of range we're going with.
6	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: No, that's the one
7	we're going to hold, no?
8	MR. AMODIO: That's number 3.
9	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Number 3 we're going
10	to hold that too.
11	MR. BRISMAN: No, no, 3 is increment.
12	That's going to move forward. 4 is top of range
13	we're going to hold that.
14	MR. AMODIO: No, no, please. We're
15	going to do number 1.
16	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: This, okay. Okay.
17	Hold. Hold.
18	MR. AMODIO: What we're going to be doing
19	is Okay. I got it. We'll do it in closed
20	session.
21	Okay. So moving onto special projects.
22	COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: Special projects
23	met on May 3rd. One of the items we discussed, we
24	heard quite a bit about this morning, met with the
25	visit from the Paterson Housing Authority. I

think what's relevant to recognize is that we are fairly certain that there's going to be damage to this pipe because we've already experienced damage to a similar pipe on Valley Road because of the construction on Route 3 and Route 46 and that's construction that's occurring a thousand feet, 1,500 feet away from where the pipe is. This pipe happens to be five feet away and in one instance it's directly over. So it's our opinion at the committee level, at least, that it's a little bit of Pollyanna thinking to say that this pipe is not going to get damaged when demolition begins.

We had discussed the need for professional services for a W-4 licensed operator and also for the architectural rehabilitation of two of our pump stations and the superintendent's building.

Both of these discussions resulted in resolutions on today's agenda.

We also discussed briefly the Third

Amended ACO for the Water Storage Improvement and
got an update on the drafting of overtime policy.

Both of those items are on our agenda this morning
for closed session discussion.

And then lastly, we sat down with the vendor who gave us a presentation. They're a high

1

tech firm that specializes in employee safety and inventory control and it is absolutely amazing on what 21st century technology can do in terms of ensuring the safety of our employees, but it comes with a rather steep price tag. So the committee had recommended to Administration that they sit down with the various department heads to discuss the need so that we can, from an educated perspective, take a look at the cost benefit. way it was presented to us just based on workers' compensation claims alone, the system would probably pay for itself in about five years. again, it is a hefty price tag and the committee felt like it was important to make sure that the various departments were going to actually utilize the system before we invested in it. So we will presumably get a report back from Administration after they meet with the department heads.

Our next meeting, we're a standing committee now, so our next meeting is scheduled for June 7.

MR. AMODIO: Any questions?

Move onto Executive Director's report.

MR. BELLA: Okay. We're currently moving along with all the water. We haven't had any

1 problems with flooding, that sort of thing.

> A couple of big projects are starting to take shape. Today we're talking about improving the pump station rehabilitation, which is a really, really good project because the pump station, the roof is, you know, a hundred years old and needs to be replaced, windows, take care of all of that.

The office has a new computer system to run the plant.

The plant's running very well despite all this water. We've had all kinds of problems with, you know, with water volume changes. One day it's perfect, the next day you have all kinds of problems. Three, four inches of rain, four inches of rain since the beginning of the year.

We're moving forward on gelling up some of the personnel issues in accordance with the, you know, with what we had discussed previously. We're working on getting another engineer, another GIS person and so things are moving along pretty well.

> MR. AMODIO: Any questions? Okay. Thank you.

Move onto Controller's Report.

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

1	MR. WEISS: Cash on hand is \$32.3 million.
2	Our accounts receivable is at \$19.8
3	million.
4	Our accounts payable is at \$2.6 million.
5	Commissioner Van Rensalier did a terrific
6	job of summing up everything else that I had to
7	say, which is great. So the finance committee is
8	on top of their game, which is awesome.
9	I got nothing else.
10	MR. AMODIO: Any questions for the
11	Comptroller?
12	Hearing none, do I have a motion for
13	closed?
14	COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: Move it.
15	COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: Second.
16	MR. AMODIO: Move by Commissioner Van
17	Rensalier.
18	Second by Commissioner Kolodziej.
19	Commissioner Van Rensalier offers the
20	following Resolution for adoption:
21	WHEREAS, Section 8 of the Open Public
22	Meetings Act permits the exclusion of the public
23	from a meeting in certain circumstance; and
24	WHEREAS, the public body is of the opinion
25	that such circumstances presently exist;

1	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the
2	Commissioners of the Passaic Valley Water
3	Commission:
4	1. The public shall be excluded from
5	discussion of the hereinafter specified subject
6	matters; the general nature of the subject matter
7	being: Financial, Insurance, Personnel, Contracts
8	Negotiations, Security, Law and other matters as
9	may be discussed <u>in</u> <u>camera</u> .
10	2. It is anticipated at this time that
11	the above-stated subject matters will be ratified
12	during public meeting following or as soon
13	thereafter as the reason for discussion no longer
14	exists.
15	3. This Resolution shall take
16	effect immediately.
17	Second by Commissioner Kolodziej.
18	MR. HANLEY: Excuse me, I didn't hear your
19	subject.
20	MR. BELLA: The North Jersey District
21	Water Supply.
22	MR. AMODIO: Add to the closed session?
23	North Jersey District Water Supply.
24	Anything else?
25	On the roll.

1	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
2	present respond in the affirmative. Commissioners
3	Vannoy and Rodriguez are absent)
4	
5	MR. AMODIO: Time is 10:19.
6	Please close the doors.
7	
8	***
9	
10	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Let's go. What do we
11	have.
12	MR. AMODIO: On the roll.
13	
14	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
15	present respond in the affirmative. Commissioners
16	Vannoy and Rodriguez are absent)
17	
18	MR. AMODIO: You have a quorum.
19	The time is 10:42.
20	We can move on to the minutes of Passaic
21	Valley Water Commission <u>in</u> <u>camera</u> and regular
22	public meeting held on April 17th, 2019.
23	Do I have a motion?
24	COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: So move.
25	COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: Second.

1 MR. AMODIO: Motion by Commissioner 2 Kolodziej. Second by Commissioner Van Rensalier. 3 On the roll. 4 5 6 (Roll call was taken, all Commissioners present respond in the affirmative. Commissioners 7 van and Rodriguez are absent) 8 9 10 MR. AMODIO: Commissioner Sanchez, does 11 the Chair accept, receive, and file miscellaneous 12 purchase orders for the period of April 10, 2019, 13 to May 7, 2019. 14 Commissioner Sanchez, do you move? 15 PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Yes. MR. AMODIO: Move onto Resolutions. 16 17 We have two resolutions. The first Resolution is Contract 19-B-22 "Architectural 18 19 Rehabilitation of PVWC's Main Pump Station, 20 Superintendent's Building, and Jackson Avenue Pump 2.1 Station, Totowa and Wayne, New Jersey". 22 Recommendation to award to Phelps 23 Construction Group, LLC of Boonton, New Jersey in 24 the amount not-to-exceed \$6,664,401.00. 25 Next contract is Contract Amendment to

1	Changes in Scope of Professional Services for
2	Project 18-P-48 "Professional Services for W-4
3	Licensed Operator" that is with current
4	contractor, Superior Services of West Caldwell,
5	New Jersey, the amount is not-to-exceed
6	\$83,000.00.
7	Do I have a motion?
8	COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: Move the group.
9	MR. AMODIO: Motion by Commissioner
10	Kolodziej.
11	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Second by Commissioner
12	Levine.
13	MR. AMODIO: Second by Commissioner
14	Levine.
15	On the roll.
16	
17	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
18	present respond in the affirmative. Commissioners
19	Vannoy and Rodriguez are absent)
20	
21	MR. AMODIO: Motion approved.
22	Next we have New Business.
23	Summary of disbursements and payrolls
24	through May 15th, 2019, in the amount of
25	\$6,824,583.84.

1	Do I have a motion?
2	COMMISSIONER VAN RENSALIER: Move it.
3	MR. AMODIO: Thank you, Commissioner Van
4	Rensalier.
5	Do I have a second?
6	COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: Second.
7	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner Kolodziej.
8	On the roll.
9	
10	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
11	present respond in the affirmative. Commissioners
12	van and Rodriguez are absent)
13	
14	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: I have to abstain on
15	Gaeta, PNA, and Montana.
16	MR. AMODIO: Gaeta, PNA, and Montana.
17	Thank you.
18	Recommendations from Executive Session.
19	The summer annual program for summer help.
20	That starts on May 20th, 2019, and it ends on
21	September 1, 2019.
21 22	September 1, 2019. Do I have a motion?
22	Do I have a motion?

1 MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner Van 2 Rensalier. On the roll. 3 4 (Roll call was taken, all Commissioners 5 6 present respond in the affirmative. Commissioners 7 van and Rodriguez are absent) 8 9 MR. AMODIO: Thank you. 10 We have Resolution of Passaic Valley Water 11 Commission --12 COMMISSIONER LEVINE: This is actually, 13 it's supposed to be 17 to 25, I mean, I don't care 14 because a lot of the seniors that are graduating, 15 a lot of them are 17 years old. That has to be 16 changed because some of the Commissioners, if they 17 have any, because there are graduating seniors at 18 17, they're younger. 19 MR. AMODIO: Everyone is okay with the 17? 20 COMMISSIONER LEVINE: As long as they're a senior graduating. 2.1 22 MR. AMODIO: Resolution of Passaic Valley 23 Water Commission approving the Third Amended ACO 24 for the Water Storage Improvement Project - Phase 25 1 and 2.

1	Do I have a motion?
2	COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: So moved.
3	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner Kolodziej.
4	Do I have a second?
5	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Second.
6	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner Friend.
7	On the roll.
8	
9	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
10	present respond in the affirmative. Commissioners
11	van and Rodriguez are absent)
12	
13	MR. AMODIO: Okay. Now, the Personnel.
14	Recommendation is to promote Stephanie
15	Vogel to Lab Water Analysis with a salary of
16	\$66,358.22, which is the top of her rate. And
17	proposed range of \$38,000.00 to \$66,358.22.
18	Next is increment, Yaxira Lopez. Proposed
19	salary of \$79,649.38.
20	Two new hires: Adam Fahmi as a mechanic.
21	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: One is a replacement,
22	to be clear.
23	MR. AMODIO: Joseph Statuto.
24	PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: Joseph Statuto. He is
25	a replacement, because he got hired and he

1	couldn't take the job, so that's a replacement.
2	And the mechanical diesel, all the hire is
3	a mechanical diesel was recommended by
4	Administration that is needed. He's a special
5	mechanical diesel that we needed.
6	All right.
7	MR. AMODIO: Adam Fahmi as a Mechanic.
8	Proposed salary \$48,000 with a range of \$17,804.80
9	to \$68,530.98.
10	And Joseph Statuto, Laborer. \$38,000.00
11	and a range of \$38,000.00 to \$62,418.26.
12	Do I have a motion?
13	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Move.
14	COMMISSIONER KOLODZIEJ: Second.
15	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner Friend.
16	Second by Commissioner Kolodziej.
17	On the roll.
18	
19	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
20	present respond in the affirmative. Commissioners
21	van and Rodriguez are absent)
22	
23	MR. AMODIO: Motion approved.
24	I have nothing under Good and Welfare.
25	COMMISSIONER FRIEND: Just a question, I

know it's only two weeks in the health insurance, has there been any complaints by any employees about coverage or anything? So far so good? MR. BELLA: Yes. PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: You know what, I'm impressed. It has been more quiet now that it was implemented. Now everybody seems to be okay with it. COMMISSIONER FRIEND: It's a good plan. It's always been a good plan, so. PRESIDENT SANCHEZ: All right. Guys, thank you for your understanding, collaboration. See you next month. MR. AMODIO: Time is 10:49. Have a Happy Memorial Day.

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

I, LYNANN DRAGONE, License No. XIO1388, a
Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State
of New Jersey, certify that the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of the hearing at the time and the
date hereinbefore set forth.

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor Counsel for, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken.

I further certify that I am not an employee of anyone employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

LYNANN DRAGONE, CCR

Certified Court Reporter