PASSAIC VALLEY WATER COMMISSION MEETING OF

MARCH 19, 2014

(OPEN SESSION)

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

GLORIA KOLODZIEJ, President

ROBERT VANNOY, Vice-President

JEFFREY LEVINE, Treasurer

MENACHEM BAZIAN, Secretary

THOMAS P. DeVITA

RIGO SANCHEZ

CHRYSTAL A. CLEAVES

ALSO PRESENT:

JOSEPH A. BELLA, Executive Director

GEORGE T. HANLEY, Counsel

JAMES G. DUPREY, Director of Engineering

JAMES J. GALLAGHER, Personnel Director

YITZ WEISS, Comptroller

LOUIS AMODIO, Administrative Secretary

1 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Call this meeting to 2 order. 3 We have a quorum. MR. AMODIO: Commissioner Vannoy is 5 running late. 6 Commissioner Levine is not here yet. 7 8 (Roll call was taken, all Commissioners 9 present respond in the affirmative) 10 11 MR. AMODIO: Thank you. 12 You have a quorum. 13 The time is 9:36 a.m. 14 All of the requirements of the Open Public 15 Meetings Act have been met. Notices have been 16 furnished to all Commissioners; City Clerks of 17 Paterson, Passaic, and Clifton; North Jersey 18 Herald News; The Record - Passaic County edition 19 and the Commission's Executive Staff with a copy 20 posted at the main bulletin board at the Clifton 21 facility. 22 Will you please rise. 23 Commissioner Sanchez... 24 We have a number of members of the public. 2.5 Gentlemen.

1 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Before we begin, I 2 know each of you are saddened by Commissioner Sanchez lost his dad. If we can just observe a 3 moment of silence. 5 Thank you. 6 May I have the sign-in sheet? If anybody 7 has not signed in the sign-in sheet? Okay. First up is Mr. Guarasci. 8 9 MR. GUARASCI: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Members of the Commission. 10 11 appreciate the courtesies that you've extended to 12 me and my colleagues over the last weeks in 13 learning more about the project. I did indicate 14 that I would be asking the State DEP to extend the 15 deadline so more public input could take place. 16 My request is in a form of a letter to Governor 17 Christie, which I'll read: 18 Dear Governor Christie: 19 I am writing to ask for your assistance 20 regarding the plans of the Passaic Valley Water Commission to drain the Levine Reservoir in our 21 22 historic neighborhood in Paterson and replace it 23 with concrete water holding tanks. 24 As you know from your visit to NJCDC and

to our neighborhood with Geoffrey Canada in 2011,

2.5

we are working hard to replicate the work of the
Harlem Children's Zone in the neighborhood
surrounding Paterson's Great Falls and the new
national park situated here.

We take very seriously the charge that you gave us that day to be the State's designated nonprofit partner in undertaking comprehensive neighborhood revitalization efforts that improve child and family well-being for the thousands of young people who live or go to school in our target area. We have been meeting regularly with your senior policy advisers and other office officials throughout State government who are assisting us in doing this vital work.

The PVWC is an important stakeholder in our neighborhood by virtue of their ownership of the Levine Reservoir. In deciding to drain the reservoir and replace it with the concrete tanks, the PVWC is seeking to comply with an Administrative Consent Order with the NJDEP based on the USEPA'S directive to remove or replace all remaining open air reservoirs throughout the nation.

Unfortunately, the PVWC did not seek any input from the public during the process it

2.5

undertook to look at all possible alternatives to draining the Levine Reservoir. While we do not question the motives of the PVWC in seeking to comply with both the EPA and DEP, we strenuously object to the fact that the PVWC did its alternative analysis behind closed doors and provided no opportunity for public participation, something noted in its own documents. This is contrary to the notion of open government, and certainly to your own call that independent authorities institute reforms to be more accountable to the people they serve.

At this point, we respectfully request that you direct NJDEP department to modify its Administrative Consent Order with the PVWC to push back the July 1st, 2014, deadline for a final design and construction documents related to the Levine Reservoir. We ask this so that the PVWC has time to undertake a process that allows for significant public input into a discussion about alternatives to draining this reservoir and other reservoirs under its jurisdiction. This will also allow for time to incorporate the Federal 106 historic preservation process, which is triggered by the Levine Reservoir's location.

1 Thank you for any assistance you can 2 provide in extending the timeframe in the Administrative Consent Order. 3 Thank you. 5 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: May I say 6 something? PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Yes. 7 8 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Is your only 9 concern about the Levine Reservoir, because we do all? Is that your only concern? 10 11 MR. GUARASCI: Well, my specific concern 12 is the Levine Reservoir itself, but, of course, the process would apply to all of the reservoirs. 13 14 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Let me ask you 15 this: You've been learning about this process, I 16 mean, every Commissioner on this table will be 17 more than happy to find out if you think there's 18 any alternative better than we have proposed. 19 When it comes to cost savings and everything else, 20 we'll be happy to hear any suggestions you might 21 have. 22 MR. GUARASCI: Well, in fact, the meetings 23 that we've had with Mr. Bella and Mr. Duprey have 24 allowed us to learn that there are in fact other 2.5 alternatives to draining the reservoirs. They may

2 be preferable to the ratepayers. And so it's that type of discussion that was never had that I think 3 is vital to ultimately a final decision being made 5 that reflects the ratepayers. COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: And as far as 6 location of the tank itself, you don't have a 7 problem as far as draining the reservoirs and 8 9 putting a tank in that specific location, that's 10 not a problem with that location specific? 11 MR. GUARASCI: No, it is a problem. What 12 we object to in addition to the lack of process 13 that allow for public participation in the 14 examination of alternatives, is the fact that the Levine Reservoir, which is a historic asset, is to 15 be drained while we do believe that there is at 16 17 least one alternative location. 18 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Which one is that? 19 I'm sorry. 20 MR. SOO: If I --21 22 23

1

24

25

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Out of order. He's got the floor and I'm really being very lenient in allowing this cross discussion, but for the sake of clarity, go right ahead. COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I'm sorry.

not be optimal to this body, but they may in fact

1	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: No, no, for the sake
2	of clarity, I appreciate that.
3	MR. GUARASCI: It's located, the one we
4	are looking at, is located across the way not far
5	from the current site under the Garret Heights
6	complex.
7	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: And do you have the
8	specifics on that specific site?
9	MR. BELLA: We're looking
10	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: That came out of the
11	meeting.
12	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I'm sorry.
13	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: That's okay.
14	For the rest of our colleagues, our
15	Administration is looking into every alternate
16	suggestion. We appreciate your putting that on
17	the record.
18	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I just wanted to.
19	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Yes, absolutely.
20	COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Thank you.
21	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Mr. Soo, now you're
22	up.
23	MR. SOO: Now, I'm up. Okay. Great.
24	Good morning, Everybody. David Soo,
25	Paterson Friends of the Great Falls.

So alternative sites has come up. There is an abandoned quarry on the other side of Route 80 against New Street by Dixon. I know that may not mean much to you, but, you know, there's that big townhouse development on top of the mountain. There's like a quarry below it with a big cliff drop off. That quarry is vacant. It has been for many years...

9

(Whereupon, Commissioner Levine is present at 9:45 a.m.)

2.5

MR. SOO: My understanding is it could be made available. Nobody's doing anything with it.

I met with the director and Kevin Grine (sic) and another gentlemen and we did some computer mapping and looked at grades and it seemed that we have feasibility here based on the grades and the size of the lot that you could possibly move the tanks from the reservoir, the Levine Reservoir, at least, to the site. They said there'd have to be like a buffer tank which would contain a million gallons.

But I called Kevin on the phone after our meeting and I said: You know, there's that vacant

lot right next to the pumping station that's available, so maybe the million gallon buffer tank could go there and then we're done; we're out of the national landmark historic district.

And, you know, just sort of talking about why that's an advantage. Why is that an advantage? A national historic landmark district of this character or this standing is equivalent to trying to build these water tanks on the Gettysburg battlefield or building them adjacent, directly adjacent on Liberty Island where the Statute of Liberty is. It has that level of sensitivity.

I spoke to a representative from the President's Advisory Council on the historic preservation, that's Obama's council, and they said the scrutiny is even higher, is an even higher scrutiny when it's in this national landmark. And the fact that the property is directly adjacent to a national park means that this is the worst, in terms of trying to get past the historic review, this is the worst possible situation; to be in the national park would be worse. But that wouldn't really happen.

So that's why my encouragement has been,

1	move it somewhere else and avoid a review that
2	could go on for many, many, many years and it will
3	cause frustration and acrimony and frustration
4	with the public and costs and delays. We want
5	none of that. So even if this alternative site
6	costs some millions of dollars more
7	
8	(Whereupon, Commissioner Vannoy is present
9	at 9:48 a.m.)
10	
11	MR. SOO: Because of its location and its
12	condition, I think that the escalating cost of the
13	delay would be a wash with whatever costs there
14	could be with this alternative site.
15	So Director Bella and Mr. Grine and, you
16	know, everybody is looking
17	MR. HANLEY: Byrne.
18	MR. SOO: Byrne, sorry. I'm holding his
19	name in my hand. I can't even read it.
20	MR. HANLEY: You got to get those Irish
21	names correct.
22	MR. SOO: Didn't you guys have a holiday?
23	Are you recovered yet?
24	So, hopefully, in a couple of weeks we'll
25	circle back. We'll have a little more

information. I'm sure the director will bring you 1 2 whatever he finds. I think we really have to push in this 3 direction. Maybe it would even be feasible to situate some of the other tanks that are being 5 proposed on this site. I don't know about the 6 engineering, but if that's possible or possible to 7 find alternative sites for any of the locations, I 8 9 think you would go a long way to soothing the public's concerns about this project and the cost, 10 11 because if the costs rise in some immeasurable, 12 you know, kind of frustrating way, I think the general public will start to get very agitated, if 13 14 they're not already agitated. 15 So my hope is for the best and I look 16 forward to working through this process with you all. 17 18 Thank you. 19 COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Madam President? 20 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Yes. 21 COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Just a question because I'm a little confused. 22 23 Certainly, cost has been one of the issues 24 that has been raised about this project.

Regardless of which property we do it on, we're

2.5

still going to be going through construction and whatever issues you have with cost of construction is going to happen no matter where we want it. So I'm just a little confused how adding millions of dollars to the project to potentially purchase some more properties and move it there mitigates the financial aspects of the project. I'm just confused on that.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: I think I would rather hold that discussion for a discussion. The last time I tried to hold a discussion like that and shared your viewpoint, I was accused of being antagonistic.

So let me just say that that point has been raised. We've been trying to get that across, but it's perceived as somehow we're being antagonistic.

So your point is well taken. I hope the public who's listening can understand where we're coming from, but I would really prefer us to listen to our speakers and we'll discuss that later.

COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: A hundred percent.

Just to say, I'm shocked how that factual -
PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: I'm sorry, but

1 that's the way it's perceived. COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Thank you, Ma'am. 2 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Okay. We have Mr. 3 Weinberg. 4 5 MR. WEINBERG: Good morning. My name is 6 Alan Weinberg. First, before I begin, let me just comment 7 and say that, you know, our questions and interest 8 9 in this project and sort of having a dialogue and debate is not an antagonistic conversation. I 10 11 certainly believe that people having opinions is a 12 healthy function of public policy and, you know, 13 for us to just, you know, not be able to 14 communicate and sort of have that, what I call 15 healthy discussion, is important to note. 16 And I want to say that the two special 17 committee meetings that we had I thought were 18 incredibly substantive, incredibly substantive. 19 And we had prepared by reading through all the 20 documents we received from the OPRA request and I 21 thought we asked pointed questions to help us 22 understand it. 23 And certainly, before I read my prepared 24 remarks, I would say that there is a balance here. 2.5 We have to be balancing two very important things:

2.5

Number one, we're all mindful of cost and that's what my comments are going to be focused on. But we also have to be mindful of historic preservation and the nature of the community. And sometimes those two things are at odds, but they're two very important public policies that we have to deal with and they're not mutually exclusive, and we have to deal with them together.

So back to my remarks.

I want to first thank Joe Bella and the Passaic Valley Water Commission Staff for taking the time to meet with us. As I just mentioned, I thought the meetings were productive and this type of public involvement is essential for successful projects.

During our meeting, we did ask Joe and the Passaic Valley Water Commission team several questions about the project budget and followed up with specific questions after. And I know they're working on a response and I appreciate that very much.

But I do think it's important for the Commissioners to hear these questions we posed, and some of the facts we have found because I think we need to be clear about the cost of these

projects to ratepayers and how it will impact water rates.

For example, I first heard the cost of the project was \$80 million, and then I've seen the number 120 million often quoted, but the only comprehensive number I've seen is the \$135 million total project figure in the feasibility report from 2011 or 2012. It is also worth noting that the \$135 million budget does not include financing or escalation from delays, which could easily bring the total cost closer to \$200 million over the life of the entire project.

With such significant costs, we asked in our letter how these costs will impact ratepayers over the long term for the lifetime of the project's debt service.

I would think the ratepayers should be concerned.

For example, the five-year Capital Plan in the 2008 budget, from 2009 to 2013, identified \$69 million in capital investment, spending about \$12 to \$15 million a year. The five-year capital plan just adopted by this Board from 2014 to 2018 includes capital spending of \$191 million, almost three times the size of the plan advanced from

2.5

five years ago. And that number doesn't even include the Great Notch Project, which is the largest of the reservoirs, I believe.

How can this agency, and more importantly, the ratepayers sustain this level of capital expenditure? Increased rates on customers would appear to be the only option to solve this problem.

But annual revenue, and this is important, has grown from \$49 million in 2005 to \$85 million in 2014. That's a \$36 million increase in revenue over nine years or 73 percent increase. In fact, there was a 21 percent rate increase in 2006 alone. While some of this revenue growth may be from system expansion and I would certainly like to know what portion is such, most of the revenue growth is from increased water rates. Add to this \$191 million five-year capital plan, driven by the reservoir replacement projects and I would imagine the pressure to raise rates over the next nine plus years would be enormous.

Ratepayers, who will pay all these bills, deserve information on the actual cost of the reservoir placement projects, as well as the annual rate increases associated with these

<u>-</u>

efforts and the total capital plan. Ratepayers also deserve the opportunity to comment on the project and consider alternatives.

As my colleague mentioned earlier, and I will mention again, we do look forward to reviewing the information on what these rates would be in total, total impact would be to debt service.

Two quick additional items before I close:

First, I've noticed in past years contracts were awarded without competitive bidding. I've seen the agenda. There's a request for permission to advertise RFPs in 2014. Is that a change in policy and can you help me understand the process here at Passaic Valley Water Commission on these issues?

Second, and this is my final question, could you please clarify if any funding from the New Jersey Environmental Infrastructure Trust application, item 10 on today's agenda, would be used for the Levine or other reservoir projects? This would include project engineering and administrative costs as well, not just construction.

I look forward to your response.

Thank you.

2.5

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: I'm going to have the appropriate people address that in public hearing.

May we have a copy of your letter? If you can give it to Mr. Amodio and someone can make a copy. Those things we can't answer today, we will get for you.

Commissioner DeVita.

COMMISSIONER DeVITA: I'd like to make one comment.

I think we all respect the right you all have to ask questions and we encourage that. It's a great idea. We didn't know, now you're here asking questions. I don't regard your questioning us as antagonistic, but I also think you shouldn't regard our comments as being antagonistic. So just as you have your viewpoints and you have your questions, that's great and I think having sat here and listened to a lot of stuff, I try not to make comments to be antagonistic, but I would hope you wouldn't take it. It's just my reaction.

MR. WEINBERG: Can I respond very briefly?

Just to respond on why I mentioned it. The

Chairwoman had brought it up first and at our

special committee meeting, you know, there was an 1 incident where the communication had broken down. 2 So it wasn't something I was mentioning lightly; I 3 was mentioning that. COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Madam President? 5 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Sure, go right 6 7 ahead. 8 MR. WEINBERG: Just filling the 9 Commissioner on the history. COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: This conversation 10 11 going back and forth, we got more specific of 12 input. I don't know who it was, it was an American President who says: When you see two 13 14 gentlemen fighting and you ask them if it's about 15 money and they say, no; it's about money. So all 16 we're doing here is fighting about money, I guess, 17 in a way. 18 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: I think the 19 important thing was that we had an incredibly 20 substantive meeting and that was by design that 21 everybody on this policymaking group, everybody on 22 the administrative staff, trying to provide 23 anybody who wants that information with what they 24 need.

So I think that everybody involved should

2.5

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

have been credited and you did do that, and that's kind of sticking in my claw right now. Please know that that was not by design, we're trying to inform, as you said, Commissioner DeVita, and I'm sorry that some of our comments were taken out of context.

COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: If I may, Madam President. I have another agenda in my head on this process. It's not just a matter of informing. I never consider myself to be so arrogant to believe I have all of the ideas, perhaps all seven of us have all the ideas. I want to hear the input from others because it's been my experience that a lot of input generates even better ideas, so the questions I ask are to understand. So if I can understand where someone is coming from, what the essence of their idea is, it may clarify a point that I missed before and perhaps there's something in it that can make it a better plan, certainly, a better and cost effective plan is all of our accomplishments.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: When we close the public hearing, there are two issues that need to be addressed today that can be addressed. Our Controller will be one. Mr. Hanley will, once

again, address the bidding process to make that clear for the public.

The whole idea, we sit on the finance committee, you and I, so this whole discussion that was put in this letter, I'm sure we can take apart at our finance committee meeting so that we can furnish the proper information so that they can fully understand the principles we've been operating under, but we'll need time to do that. So Commissioner Levine is chairperson. We'll put that on our agenda so we can formulate answers to their questions.

That brings us to Mr. Gonzalez.

MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you.

Good evening, ladies and gentlemen, Commissioners, Chair.

First, I would also like to thank Joe

Bella and Jim Duprey and their staff for taking

the time to meet with us twice over the last month

to discuss the project and answer many of our

questions.

I would also like to thank them for agreeing to participate in a public information session in Paterson that we are planning for Thursday, April 24th.

2.5

I must also tell you, I am very impressed with the knowledge of the Passaic Valley Water

Commission team and I'm pleased to report that our meeting was very substantive, as well. Many questions were answered and many good suggestions were considered.

In fact, during the discussion, we came up with alternatives, some of which would be more costly during construction, others would require more operating costs and less capital. And certainly, all of which are offered have different levels of water service optimization.

As we were discussing these options, I was thinking, had the "horse already left the barn?"

I mean, does it still matter what we think today, as the feasibility study is complete and designs are now 60 percent? In short, does our opinion at this stage matter? It should, but I fear that the answer would be no, unless this Board takes action to return this project, in particular, the three reservoir components, to the feasibility stage to allow for public input.

Why must we do this? Because the public, community, interested parties, and consulting parties were never part of the feasibility study.

2.5

I have read the Feasibility Study Executive

Summary with my colleagues, and it states as clear
as day in multiple locations throughout the report
that there was no public involvement as part of
this critical study.

This cannot stand. I know this body gives a great deal of respect to government regulators like the NJDEP and the EPA and their demands that you move quickly, but I also think we need to give the same level of respect to the public, the ratepayers, who are the very people who will shoulder the burden of these costs from their weekly paychecks.

So I ask this Board, to please pass a resolution reopening the feasibility study regarding the three reservoirs, to both inform and to seek input from the community. In the absence of this, we will now be able to suggest real alternatives, but simply be left to choose paint colors for the tanks at Levine, New Street, and Great Notch reservoirs. It is standard, basic environmental planning to allow the public to comment and I am hopeful the NJDEP will agree. My colleagues will be reaching out to the State to make the same case, but I think you should join

1 that effort.

I do hope you will do the right thing here today, delay the project and reopen the feasibility study so that the public can participate.

And, again, I want to echo what my colleagues were saying, we did have a great meeting. A lot of information was shared, but also a lot of new ideas were brought up and this is the very reason why we want public input.

One of the Commissioners just mentioned he wants to know more information. If he suggests something and someone else can follow-up and come up with a better plan, a better feasibility, something that can work for all.

Thank you, Commissioners.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Any other member of the public that we overlooked? If not, can I have a motion to close the public hearing?

COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Move it.

COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Second.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Mr. Hanley, can you once again, kind of help the public understand the bidding process. I think there's confusion out there that will be helpful.

2.5

MR. HANLEY: I think the contracts you're referring to, essentially, the feasibility study, which was done by the engineering firms, and that was done according to New Jersey law in the most open way you can do those. Those are professional services contracts as opposed to say a construction contract where you itemize materials and work and labor.

But we follow what they call the open and fair process of, or sometimes referred to as pay-to-play open and fair process procedure with all professional services, which means that we design an RFP, a Request For Proposal, with a fair amount of detail and then we solicit any firm that anybody knows of who might be, you know, qualified or interested. And we publish those things, as well, on our website.

And so we're in complete and scrupulous compliance with that law, which is the most, how do I put it, the most open way of doing professional services available to us.

And then we receive the proposals. With a complicated, long-term project like this one was, we would take then a group of people, and this is primarily engineers, usually, sometimes myself,

one or two Commissioners, myself, and we review 1 2 all the proposals and rate them based on the criteria which is the recommended best practice. 3 And then score them. And then we usually pick the top three. 5 6 Like I said, this is for the most complicated contracts and this was done in this 7 8 case. 9 And then after those three are 10 interviewed, their qualifications and so forth are 11 reviewed again and then that committee, if you 12 will, or task force, recommends, makes recommendations to the full Commission. But the 13 14 full Commission also reviews the top three and 15 some cases all of them, if they choose to, and 16 then a vote is taken. 17 So there is no more open and fair way to do that to retain those professional firms that I 18 19 just described and that's what was followed in 20 this case. 21 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Thank you. 22 Thank you, Mr. Hanley. MR. HANLEY: And, again, under the law 23 24 that we choose to follow. It's our option. We

believe in it.

25

1 MR. GUARASCI: Can I ask a question? 2 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: No, the public hearing is closed. 3 MR. HANLEY: Just one thing, those 5 proposals as they first come in are sealed and 6 there's a time deadline. In other words, we say 11:00 on March 23rd, they have to be there by 7 11:00 or they're not allowed to be received. 8 9 Again, that's part of the law. So to that extent, it's very much like a 10 11 sealed construction bid, but there are differences 12 because there are judgmental things that occurs as part of that process that I just described, but 13 14 that's, you know, that's because it's a 15 professional service. 16 MR. GUARASCI: May I ask a question? 17 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: You can put your 18 question in writing and we will answer that for 19 you. 20 We have other questions coming out of the 21 speeches. The other question raised that can be 22 answered today is item number 10 on the 23 Resolutions. 24 The question was asked if that pertains to 25 anything in regard to reservoirs. So can we

explain this application that we have. 1 2 MR. WEISS: There's nothing in the application that pertains to the reservoir cover 3 project. If there's anything that relates to the 5 reservoirs at all, that would be just regular 6 ongoing maintenance, but nothing related to the 7 reservoir covers. 8 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: All right. So that 9 should quash any fears. This just happens to deal with the projects that we have to get done for our 10 11 business and for safety reasons. So that's it. 12 So if you gentlemen will provide the questions that hadn't been answered or additional 13 14 questions that have come out of the answers that 15 have been given, please make sure Mr. Amodio gets them and we'll answer them. 16 17 All right. Let's move onto regular 18 business. 19 MR. AMODIO: We have committee reports. 20 IT. 21 COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Thank you, Madam 22 President. 23 We had a meeting this month, a very good 24 meeting. We made a lot of progress. There were 2.5 two things that basically came out of the meeting.

We were talking about the goals for the year all of which were previously discussed with this Board; things like automated agendas to prevent us from killing quite as many trees as we do. Things to increase efficiency here. The WiFi for the boardroom and WiFi for the public to assist them while they're paying bills has been installed, is up and running and it is, thanks to that, that I have my agenda right in front of me right on the computer right now. So I'm a happy camper.

There is the installation of the Customer Service software. That whole process is underway. And Yitz Weiss, our CFO, is heading that up.

I'm trying to think.... All of the goals that we've set forth are being reviewed. Mr.

Amodio is looking at Board software. Jim is looking at other aspects of other software that will make us more efficient.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: It's incredible. I am the least knowledgeable in this area, but sitting on this committee, I have learned a lot and I'm very excited about the direction in which we're going. Staff has been doing a wonderful job. People who are wearing several hats are just as excited about the project. And Mr. Gallagher

and everybody involved has been doing a super job and we've been doing it, basically, without

additional personnel at this time.

COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: That's correct.

There is one other thing I wanted to mention. The bid proposal for the website has been sent out. I don't know how many bids we've got yet. They're due in on March 27th. So, hopefully, at our next meeting we'll be able to award that contract and get the process of redoing our website and making it more functional, more user friendly, and just basically more up to date, hopefully, beginning very soon.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: It sounds easy, but it's very time consuming. The energy level and the desire to really get to that end result is fantastic and everybody gets kudos as far as I'm concerned.

Finance.

COMMISSIONER LEVINE: We discussed the budget this year. Made some timelines and timeframes that we wanted to go through on the budget. We also changed the budget that we wanted to review each department head's budget separately because we want them to hand it into the fiance

meeting so we can look at each versus this year

versus last year to see what they're adding or

what they're taking off.

We discussed some overtime issues with some employees that we want to, you know, that we're trying to figure out a solution for. You know, an overtime issue. Basically, we held off on the goals and stuff because we wanted to wait until you were there, so we don't go through things. We wanted to wait until all of us are there, the rest of the committee. This took up over an hour just going through this.

What else?

COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: If I may? I just want to expand on one thing that the Treasurer said.

As you know, Commissioner, over the last four or five years, we've made great strives in our budget process. Speaking as a CPA, a budget is a planning document. It's for us to not only approve what we think we're going to be spending, but also to understand where that money is going. So a budget typically will consist of two basic items: Your standard things that you're going to have to buy; your chemicals, your salaries for

existing personnel, and things like that. And then the additional things that we would expect management to plan for, such as if you can, plan a purchase of a vehicle, of equipment, additional hires, and things like that. And that is what we call the budget narrative, which Yitz gave us this year at my request and went into the budget and will continue even further.

We spent some time discussing the importance of that narrative document and how the phrase "has it been budgeted for" is not just a matter of, do we have enough money in the budget to cover this expenditure, but if this is something that should have been planned and is not something that is, you know, regular cost of business, like paying the electricity. Is it in the narrative or if it is not in the narrative, then it hasn't been budgeted for in effect.

That's the discussion that we're having in finance and I'm looking forward to your weighing in on that discussion.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Twenty years plus in experience in budgets at the City of Clifton, I'm looking forward to that discussion.

Just a question in the overtime. We've

1	been hammered this year because of the weather.
2	There's no way that you can budget those kind of
3	unseen
4	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: We're talking about
5	employee.
6	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: That we can control.
7	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: This is one hour
8	overtime. It's everyday.
9	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Exactly. Exactly.
10	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: It's something that
11	needs to be controlled. An employee who has one
12	hour every single day of the week with standby
13	time. That has to be controlled.
14	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Exactly. And,
15	Commissioner Levine, you have been on top of that
16	from the minute you headed finance. So we
17	appreciate it.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: This isn't something
19	from a break or anything like that.
20	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: That's what I
21	thought. I just wanted it on the record.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: You weren't here.
23	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: I just wanted to get
24	it on the record because the whole budgeting
25	process, if you haven't been through this type of

24

25

budget, is often confused, as nicely stated by
Commission Bazian. And I just wanted to make sure
that --

COMMISSIONER LEVINE: No, no, continually ongoing, everyday.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Terrific. So I will make an effort to be at the next meeting.

COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: There's one other item that came out of the meeting. I believe and, Joe, back me up on this, I believe this January's overtime was actually worse than last year's overtime. Looking at, I believe, it was the distribution department, please forgive me, I'm pulling this from my memory, despite the fact that we had more overtime, the new union contract and the changes, elimination of the double time had resulted in, Yitz, back me up, \$77,000, I think was the number, we paid less this year than we did last year. So, certainly, the work that we did with the union and everything has resulted in significant cost savings and still allowed us to handle the emergencies and I think that's something worth mentioning.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Certainly.

Did you want to comment on any finance?

MR. BELLA: The fact that the weather was really uncooperative this year made it difficult, but they did a really good job at it also. So it's just the managers, in fact. So despite record, by a long shot, by the way, by about 30 percent over last year's record, and that goes to the capital expenditures, we're seeing our break rates take off. You know, they were going like this and now it's starting to turn like that and that's when you got to start replacing your mains.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: So we've set our priorities as far as investing our capital in those projects, infrastructure projects that need to be done.

I guess we better take personnel next.

COMMISSIONER VANNOY: Ours is very short.

Two electricians that we hired from the Civil Service list. I believe they might have been three and four. We interviewed the first two guys. They did not want to take the position, so we moved down the list, accordingly.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Just a question:
How many electricians will we then have? We
already have an electrician, one?

1 COMMISSIONER VANNOY: No, no --2 MR. BELLA: We'll have four. PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: That's the number I 3 want. So we're adding two more to the four. COMMISSIONER VANNOY: Right. 5 6 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: And then my question 7 will be: This will help us to not rely on the 8 outside vendors that we used to have to pay 9 before, so by having somebody there immediately we can cut down on the outside contracts. 10 11 COMMISSIONER VANNOY: Exactly. 12 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Got it. 13 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: I'd like to say, 14 obviously, I couldn't make it. It was personal, 15 because of my dad. I want to make a point and I want to do it in public. I like to get my 16 personnel committee agenda at least 24 hours so I 17 18 have time to review my agenda and whatever is on 19 the agenda, otherwise, I will not show up at a 20 meeting where I don't get an agenda at least 24 21 hours prior to the meeting. So I just want to 22 announce that now. And I don't know how it gets 23 done, but it needs to get done. 24 I'm going to abstain on this. I got all 25 the information. I didn't participate. I just

1 want to make a point.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Point well taken. I gave the personnel committee a directive. Mr.

Amodio and I intend to hold to our regulation about not having anything on the agenda. The only reason the electricians are on the agenda is because we have discussed this in previous meetings, so there will be other discussions that will be on for your next meeting which you will thoroughly get at your meeting. And Commissioner Vannoy, you promise me --

personnel committee meeting will be a standard meeting on the same day. Like we have our regular meetings on a Wednesday, we'll set that so everybody will know and you have to make time in your schedule to be there. It will just be like our regular Board meeting. I'm not saying a date yet, but it will be like our, we have a scheduled Wednesday, and that's when it will be and you should make time to be there.

COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: If I may, these two electricians, which department will they be going into, Joe?

MR. BELLA: They'll be in maintenance.

1	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: As I said before,
2	according to the budget, you budgeted for an
3	electrician and two senior mechanics, why are we
4	hiring two electricians? I'm just going based
5	upon the narrative submitted by the CFO.
6	MR. BELLA: We decided we didn't need the
7	mechanics so we're going make one and one.
8	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: So we're talking two
9	electricians and one mechanic?
10	MR. BELLA: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: And what is the cost
12	of a mechanic versus the cost of an electrician?
13	MR. BELLA: They're very close in
14	salaries. I can't remember the exact number, but
15	those salary ranges are set pretty close.
16	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Okay.
17	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: That brings us to
18	legal affairs.
19	COMMISSIONER DeVITA: We had our meeting.
20	The department prepared our quarterly reports for
21	us that we went over. Very insightful. Update on
22	everything that's going on with all the attorneys.
23	We had discussion on some of our ongoing
24	litigation which George asked us to do in closed.
25	We don't have another meeting scheduled.

1 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Commissioner 2 Cleaves, she was doing me a favor when I asked her to serve on that committee. My goal was to have 3 each of the owner cities to be represented and, 5 Commissioner DeVita, she says she likes that committee. 6 7 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: All right. Joe, the 8 Executive Director's report. 9 MR. BELLA: Mainly, I want to talk about the progress with the main breaks and one of the 10 11 things we can talk about in this is, you know, 12 capital program. Our capital program shows mainly the two 13 14 things: One is the fence that unfolds because of 15 the reservoir project. 16 The other one is mainly switching our 17 focus from plant operations and construction to 18 distribution system. 19 Now, we need to focus our attention to our 20 distribution system. We were originally planning 21 on doing cleaning and lining projects, which just 22 basically do some rehabilitation to the mains, but 23 we see that that is not going to be sufficient. 24 We're going to have to do more main replacement 25 projects where we actually remove the old mains

and take them out of service. It's not an exact science how you select them and that kind of thing.

I think we talked about this before where some of our mains were put in the ground in the '50s are actually failing at a higher rate than mains that were put in in the early 1900s. So those are the kind of judgments that the Board is, not the Board, the Engineering Department has been making.

They're systematically going through the system and keeping track of where the main breaks are, what types of materials are in the ground and so we're out there, you know, trying to make those judgments in the best way we can and schedule those projects over the four to five to ten years is what we're trying to set these projects up.

That's pretty much what I wanted to talk about.

The plant operations have been very good.

The issue with the salt is coming down very

nicely. You know, the fact that everybody ran out

of salt, that really helped us out. It wasn't as

much a problem. So sometimes things work in the

best.

The other thing, they switched to calcium 1 2 chloride, even though it's more expensive, it still has less... 3 COMMISSISONER DeVITA: I have a strange 5 question. 6 Joe, towns or anyone else doesn't dump 7 their snow collection? 8 MR. BELLA: They don't allow it. That was 9 stopped back in the '80s. COMMISSIONER DeVITA: So the salt is just 10 11 a runoff? 12 MR. BELLA: Runoff and our watershed, Route 80 basically bisecting it and 287 and 280 13 14 it's hit harder than most. During this time, we 15 diluted, by the way, we used our water, our 16 allotment for Wanaque Reservoir and diluted and it 17 worked, actually, worked out quite well. 18 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: There are so many 19 great items in here. It took awhile to go through 20 it. Again, staff is to be commended with 21 everything going in the right direction. 22 We have visitors here who will probably be 23 interested in a status report on the Great Falls 24 Pedestrian Bridge. So can you just expand on 2.5 that.

MR. BELLA: Sure.

2.5

The footbridge is basically, we had it inspected. It was installed in 1982 and now it needs some updating. There was a particular metal that they used, 410. And over time it doesn't rust like normal steel, but it sort of, you got to inspect it more carefully.

So we had it inspected. It's just a matter of updating and going through some stuff and we're preparing specs now. We're going out for bid on that. We want to keep it up to date.

COMMISSIONER DeVITA: It doesn't have to be replaced?

MR. BELLA: No.

And the other bridge, not the historic bridge, that one we maintain on a regular basis, probably about every seven or eight years. We have to sandblast and paint it and trying to preserve that for as long as possible. It is an important bridge. It's iconic to that.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Right. I remember the last time we did that with the blasting and painting and all of that. It's a periodic thing we have to budget for. So I just wanted the audience to know that we are continuing to keep up

1 our properties. 2 Anything else from Joe? COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: I just have a 3 question for Joe? 5 Actually, this related to some of the 6 things that were said by the public. 7 Joe, when you have an opportunity, one of 8 the suggestions that was made was that we actually 9 go back to feasibility. I'd be very interested to know what the cost effect of doing something like 10 11 that would be. I'm not going to ask you for 12 numbers now, that would be unfair. But can you 13 just sit down and just ask yourself and try to 14 come back with some kind of an idea and report to 15 the finance committee, would be the appropriate 16 place, if we did something like that, what kind of 17 costs. 18 MR. BELLA: We have to look at all the 19 alternatives. That will take some time. We got 20 to look at some terms and conditions. We got to 21 look at, you know, the roads, and rights of ways. 22 COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: I get that. There 23 should be a number attached to doing all of that. 24 I'm just curious, ballpark, what that number is.

MR. BELLA: We're actually working on

1	that. We're looking in a responsible way. We
2	believe it's important, like everybody else, that,
3	you know, ideas are good.
4	MR. AMODIO: Anything further?
5	We'll move onto request permission to
6	advertise RFPs in the year 2014.
7	Questions?
8	Motion.
9	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Hold on, I'm sorry.
10	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Mr. Amodio is
11	looking for a motion.
12	COMMISSIONER VANNOY: Do you have a
13	question first?
14	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: No, no. I just
15	didn't hear what he said.
16	COMMISSIONER VANNOY: So moved.
17	MR. AMODIO: Moved by Commissioner Vannoy.
18	COMMISSIONER DeVITA: Second.
19	MR. AMODIO: Second by Commissioner
20	DeVita.
21	On the roll.
22	
23	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
24	present respond in the affirmative. Commissioners
25	Sanchez and Levine are not in the room)

1 MR. AMODIO: Motion approved. 2 Next, we have Howard Eichenbaum. Series 2014 Water Supply Revenue Bonds not-to-exceed 3 \$24,500,000. Mr. Eichenbaum. 5 6 MR. EICHENBAUM: Good morning. My name is Howard Eichenbaum. I'm with Gluck, Walrath, bond 7 8 counsel. 9 You have before you today a Bond 10 Resolution to approve up to \$24,500,000 of project 11 revenue bonds for various water system 12 improvements. 13 The Commission has already gone to the 14 Local Finance Board several months ago. 15 approved the project. In addition to approving the bonds, the Resolution also approves a bond 16 17 purchase agreement with an underwriter that had 18 been selected last year by the Commission, Raymond 19 James. Also approves a preliminary statement 20 necessary to sell the bonds and a continuing 21 disclosure statement necessary to sell public 22 bonds. 23 Because all the discussion has happened,

the reservoir.

this project does not include anything relating to

24

1 Any questions, I'll be happy to answer. 2 COMMISSIONER DeVITA: My understanding is what's going to be covered by this Resolution is 3 contained in Exhibit A. Correct? MR. EICHENBAUM: Yes. It's a lot of 5 6 different miscellaneous projects. 7 COMMISSIONER DeVITA: And this has already 8 been approved by the Local Finance? 9 MR. EICHENBAUM: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Refresh my memory, 11 what are the costs of the Commission; issuing 12 costs, costs of underwriting, whatever it is? 13 MR. EICHENBAUM: That, I'm sorry, I don't 14 have in front of me. 15 The Commission did do an RFQ last year for 16 underwriters. I believe several were received. Raymond James was selected based upon that RFP 17 18 process, based upon what they believe rates would be for financing. And estimated total cost was 19 20 included with the Local Finance Board application 21 which was approved two or three months ago. 22 COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: As I recall, when we 23 had that discussion a few months ago, we had said 24 we weren't sure what all the costs were going to 25 be at that point. That seems to be my

1 recollection which is why I'm asking the question 2 now. Am I mistaken? PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: No. 3 Well, it doesn't matter, what matters is 5 what's in this Resolution that we're working on. So there is that cost. All of that is in here. 6 MR. EICHENBAUM: All the costs are built 7 8 into the bond issue. The largest piece of the 9 cost is what the underwriter will be charging. If you like, I can call and get follow-up 10 11 information. 12 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Yeah. I think it 13 would be important. By the time we get to vote on 14 it, it's a very important question. We discussed 15 this as a Commission before. We're extremely 16 sensitive to that cost, so that will be helpful to 17 us. 18 Is there any other questions? 19 COMMISSIONER DeVITA: What we're going to 20 to do is approximately, 22 million, the bond costs 21 24,5. 22 MR. EICHENBAUM: The overall amount which 23 was originally provided to us was 24,5 which is 24 the amount that the Local Finance Board, the 2.5 actual amount be issuing based upon --

1	COMMISSIONER DeVITA: So it doesn't mean	
2	that the difference, the 22 and 24,5 is going to	
3	cost us?	
4	MR. EICHENBAUM: No, not at all.	
5	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: But I would still	
6	like to know that question.	
7	One of our former Commissioners was very,	
8	very concerned about those underwriting costs and	
9	felt we really had to stay on top of that.	
10	MR. EICHENBAUM: It will take me five	
11	minutes.	
12	MR. WEISS: Part of the difference between	
13	the project of costs and the actual issue cost is	
14	going to be the debt service reserve funding, the	
15	debt service reserve.	
16	COMMISSIONER DeVITA: We have to have that	
17	same ratio.	
18	MR. WEISS: Correct.	
19	MR. HANLEY: Which, by the way, our	
20	rating, our bond rating was reaffirmed and you'll	
21	recall that we had part of the only, one of the	
22	few public entities in the State that was upgraded	
23	in our bond rating since '07. Am I accurate?	
24	MR. WEISS: Yes, affirmed our rating A.	
25	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: I like the phrase	

that said: Outlook for the future: Solid. 1 2 kudos to all the hard work by everybody. COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: If I may, just, I 3 know this may sound like a strange question from a 5 CPA, the higher our bond rating, the lower our cost. Is that correct? 6 MR. WEISS: Correct. 7 MR. AMODIO: Controller. 8 9 MR. WEISS: Cash on hand is \$12.6 million. Our accounts receivable is at 10 11 \$13.7 million. Our 30 days or less accounts 12 receivable is at \$13.3 million which is 13 approximately 97 percent. 14 Accounts payable is at \$6.7 million. 15 The reason our accounts payable is higher 16 than normal is because we've got a \$1.4 million 17 pension payment that has to be made by the 18 beginning of next month. 19 Our cash balances might seem lower than 20 what they were in the past, that's because Friday 21 last week we started sending out, we actually sent 22 out all the refunds for the recalculation on the 23 Lodi bills. The total on those numbers which was 24 approved by Lodi's CPA came to a total of 25 \$4,707,731.70 with about 4,600 checks. They've

almost all gone out. There were a couple that we 1 2 had to scrutinize. We're taking care of that. That will be out at the end of the week. 3 COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: 4.7. MR. WEISS: 4.7 million. That includes 5 6 the refunds -- I'm sorry, the \$4.7 million 7 includes credits that were applied to the 8 accounts. 9 COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Not the \$8 million that was reported? It's 4.7? 10 MR. WEISS: No, not the \$8 million. We're 11 12 really not sure where that number came from. 13 COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: I remember reading 14 that somewhere. 15 MR. WEISS: Just to clarify, the credits 16 to the accounts were \$4.7 million. The checks 17 that were sent were actually \$4.2 million. 18 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: You all received the 19 copy of what's on the website how people who live 20 in Lodi or moved out of Lodi think they're 21 entitled to this, it spells out what each person 22 needs to do. Again, being that proactive is 23 important. 24 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: That is important 2.5 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Yes, it is. It's on

1 the web site. 2 All right. I think we're ready for closed 3 session. MR. AMODIO: Can I have a motion? COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Move it. 5 6 COMMISSIONER SANCHEZ: Second. 7 MR. AMODIO: WHEREAS, Section 8 of the 8 Open Public Meetings Act permits the exclusion of 9 the public from a meeting in certain circumstances; and 10 11 WHEREAS, the public body's of the opinion 12 that such circumstances presently exist;. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the 13 14 Commissioners of Passaic Valley Water Commission: 15 1. The public shall be excluded from the 16 discussion of the hereinafter specified subject 17 matters; the general nature of the subject matter 18 being: Financial, Insurance, Personnel, 19 Contracts, Negotiations, Litigation, Security, and 20 Law. Under Law we have: Summary/Aging Reports, 21 North Arlington v. PVWC, Prismatic/Leopold v. 22 PVWC, Lodi v. PVWC, NJDEP v. PVWC, and the matter 23 of Falcone - 49 Chase Street, Nutley New Jersey. 24 It's a hold harmless. We have workers' comp case 2.5 of Keith Scheck and Jerome Bell.

1	Mr. Hanley, is there anything else?
2	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: People, take your
3	conversation outside and let us get this on the
4	record, please.
5	MR. HANLEY: One additional one which is
6	JAK Diamond and Guido will be here.
7	MR. AMODIO: Personnel: We have new
8	hires: Joseph Casale and Bruno Vitale,
9	Electricians.
10	And any other matter as may be discussed
11	in closed session.
12	2. It is anticipated at this time that
13	the above-stated subject matters will be ratified
14	during public meeting following or as soon
15	thereafter as the reason for discussion no longer
16	exists.
17	3. This Resolution shall take effect
18	immediately.
19	Second by Commissioner Sanchez.
20	On the roll.
21	
22	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
23	present respond in the affirmative. Commissioner
24	Cleaves is out of the room)
25	

24

25

MR. HANLEY: I apologize. I think it would be more efficient to have Howard report to you.

PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Great, great.

MR. HANLEY: Before you finish the closed session.

 $\label{eq:president_condition} \mbox{PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ:} \quad \mbox{He's got the number}$ for us.

COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: We're still in open.

MR. EICHENBAUM: The numbers that were provided to the Local Finance Board back in January, had estimated costs basically at estimated underwriters discount, if it would have been \$24 million worth of bonds, it would be less, plus the original issue premium, which the Commission will likely get based upon the market today, so even if the Commission needs were 22 and a half million, the amount of bonds sold would be probably at least a million dollars or more less than that because of the premium received. People went high in price coupon bonds. The estimated amount was \$120,250 based upon 24,050,000 and the projected cost of issuance which would include, you know, all the professionals, publications, ratings, and so forth was projected at 163,5.

1	COMMISSIONER DeVITA: So roughly, 300.
2	MR. EICHENBAUM: Just under 300, yes.
3	MR. AMODIO: Would we do a vote on that
4	now?
5	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: We can move that up
6	to now.
7	MR. AMODIO: We didn't.
8	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: If I may?
9	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Yes, go ahead.
10	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: All of the public is
11	out of the room, even though we're technically in
12	open session, they're out of the room thinking
13	we're in closed. Why don't we just do the vote
14	later?
15	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Okay.
16	MR. AMODIO: Is there a timeframe on this?
17	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: No.
18	MR. EICHENBAUM: Do you need me to wait?
19	I can wait.
20	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: No.
21	MR. AMODIO: When we're done, just
22	whatever documents.
23	MR. EICHENBAUM: Okay.
24	MR. AMODIO: Okay. Now, gentlemen, please
25	close the doors.

1	***
2	
3	MR. AMODIO: You have a quorum.
4	The time is 11:38 a.m.
5	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: All right. We're
6	going to quickly go through the Consent Agenda.
7	Bring the items from the closed session here. We
8	have a separate vote for all. So can we just pay
9	attention for another five minutes, guys.
LO	MR. AMODIO: First: We have the minutes
L1	of Passaic Valley Water Commission <u>in</u> <u>camera</u> and
L2	Regular Public Meetings held February 19th, 2014.
L3	Do I have a motion?
L 4	COMMISSIONER DeVITA: So moved.
L5	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner DeVita.
L 6	Do I have a second?
L7	COMMISSIONER CLEAVES: Second.
L8	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner Cleaves.
L9	On the roll.
20	
21	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
22	present respond in the affirmative, Commissioner
23	Sanchez is absent)
24	
25	MR. AMODIO: Thank you.

1 Next: Does the Chair accept, receive, and 2 file miscellaneous purchase orders placed by Linda Beckering, Purchasing Agent, for the period of 3 February 17th, 2014 to March 9th, 2014? Madam Chair? 5 6 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Yes. 7 MR. AMODIO: Thank you. 8 Next: We're going to do Consent as 9 Commissioner Kolodziej has said. Just give me a moment, I will recite them. 10 Item number 6. Contract #14-B-8 "Furnish 11 12 and Deliver Mobile Column Truck Lift System." Recommendation to reject and rebid. 13 14 Item 7. Contract \$14-B-21 "Furnish and 15 Deliver Trailer Mounted Vacuum Excavating 16 Equipment and Appurtenances." 17 Recommendation to reject and rebid. 18 12-B-17 "Furnish and Deliver Water Meters 19 and Appurtenances and Scheduling Services." 20 Recommendations to extend for one year 21 contracts with Neptune Technology, in the amount 22 of \$1,221,284.73. 23 Resolution authorizing Passaic Valley 24 Water Commission to retain lawyer, Al Lisbona, as 25 representation in litigation related to the

1	Retrofit of the Water Treatment Plant by Prismatic
2	and Leopold.
3	And last, we have Resolution by Passaic
4	Valley Water Commission authorizing application
5	for loan from the New Jersey Environmental
6	Infrastructure Financing Program.
7	Do I have a motion?
8	COMMISSIONER DeVITA: So moved.
9	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner DeVita.
10	Do I have a second?
11	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: Second.
12	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner Bazian.
13	Any questions?
14	Hearing none, on the roll.
15	
16	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
17	present respond in the affirmative, Commissioner
18	Vannoy is out of the room and Commissioner Sanchez
19	is absent)
20	
21	MR. AMODIO: Thank you.
22	Real quick, I'd like to go back up to
23	Resolution Series 2014 Water Supply Revenue Bonds,
24	not-to-exceed \$24,500,000.
25	Do I have a motion?

1 COMMISSIONER DeVITA: Motion with a 2 question. 3 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Ouestion. COMMISSIONER DeVITA: George, my question, 4 he indicated those are costs of almost 300,000 and 5 6 that includes underwriting. That includes legal fees and everything else, I assume. But here's my 7 question, maybe it's a silly question: We have an 8 9 agreement that he's are bond counsel. We pay them on an hourly rate. Is this offering part of our 10 11 hourly rate or is it in addition to what we pay? 12 We don't pay them hourly and he gets this too? 13 MR. HANLEY: No, no. And this will be 14 more or less a discretionary thing, a financial 15 thing that Yitz would decide. But the advantage 16 with his bill is you can't charge it to this, to 17 these funds. Yitz decides that's the best way. 18 COMMISSIONER DeVITA: So whatever he 19 charges us --20 MR. HANLEY: I mean, assuming there's 21 enough room and the soft cost, which I would think 22 there is. 23 PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: It could be. It's a 24 choice. 2.5 That's my question. COMMISSIONER DeVITA:

1	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Good question.
2	I need a second.
3	MR. AMODIO: Do I have a second?
4	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: I'll second.
5	MR. AMODIO: Commissioner Bazian.
6	On the roll.
7	
8	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
9	present respond in the affirmative, Commissioner
10	Sanchez is absent)
11	
12	MR. AMODIO: Motion approved.
13	We'll move onto Summary of Disbursements
14	and Payrolls through March 19th, 2014, in the
15	amount of \$6,604,941.56.
16	Would the Treasurer like to make a motion?
17	COMMISSIONER LEVINE: I'll make a motion.
18	I'm waiting for confirmation from our CFO
19	on some of the checks, subject to that.
20	MR. AMODIO: Okay. Do I have a second?
21	COMMISSIONER VANNOY: Second.
22	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner Vannoy.
23	On the roll.
24	COMMISSIONER VANNOY: I abstain on American
25	Hose.

1	COMMISSIONER BAZIAN: I don't remember if
2	Dell is on the list, so I'm going to abstain on
3	that.
4	COMMISSIONER DeVITA: I abstain on Rachles
5	Michele, yes on everything else.
6	
7	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
8	present respond in the affirmative, Commissioner
9	Sanchez is absent)
10	
11	MR. AMODIO: Next: We have
12	recommendations from closed session.
13	We have new hires: Joseph Casale and
14	Bruno Vitale, Electricians, with a proposed salary
15	of 65,000. I didn't get a full range but it goes
16	up to \$70,325.
17	PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: That's fine.
18	COMMISSIONER DeVITA: Moved.
19	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner DeVita.
20	COMMISSIONER VANNOY: Second.
21	MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner Vannoy.
22	On the roll.
23	
24	(Roll call was taken, all Commissioners
25	present respond in the affirmative, Commissioner

		~ 2
1	Sanchez	is absent)
2		
3		MR. AMODIO: I have nothing further.
4		PRESIDENT KOLODZIEJ: Nothing under Good
5	and Weli	fare.
6		Motion to adjourn?
7		COMMISSIONER VANNOY: Move.
8		MR. AMODIO: By Commissioner Vannoy.
9		Unanimous.
10		The time is 11:44 a.m.
11		The next meeting is April 16th, 2014.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

I, LYNANN DRAGONE, License No. XIO1388, a

Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State

of New Jersey, certify that the foregoing is a true and

accurate transcript of the meeting at the time and the

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor Counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this meeting was taken.

date hereinbefore set forth.

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of anyone employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

LYNANN DRAGONE, CCR Certified Court Reporter